

Analysis of Language Errors in Comments on Social Media Twitter Account @Tanyarl (Pragmatic Studies)

Siti Hasna Ummu Hani¹ Intan Sari Ramdhani²

Indonesian Language and Literature Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Tangerang, Tangerang City, Banten Province, Indonesia^{1,2}

Email: hasnahani01@gmail.com¹ intan.sariramdhani@gmail.com²

Abstract

This research is a descriptive qualitative research with library study data collection techniques. The subject of this research is comments on the @tanyarl account comment column. The @tanyarl account is an account with thousands of followers and has many opportunities for their tweets to go viral. One of the factors is because it contains topics that are currently being discussed or contains pros and cons that provoke a lot of comments from netizens. From several comments, it was found that comments violated the principle of cooperation in communication, so that the purpose of communication was not achieved and the communication did not go well. Based on the findings, the data found were 20 data on violations of the principle of cooperation with details of 6 data on violations of the maxim of quantity, 4 data on violations of the maxim of quality, 8 data on violations of the maxim of relation, and 2 data on violations of the maxim of manner. Violation of the principle of cooperation can also occur due to a person's habits, culture and character so that it is carried over to the social media comment column. This explanation can be a reflection to adhere to the principles of cooperation so as to minimize misunderstandings in communication.

Keywords: Twitter, Principles of Cooperation, Account @tanyarl



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

INTRODUCTION

Humans are social creatures who interact with other humans every day. One form of interaction is communication with language as a tool (Citra, 2020: 17). Communication can be done by conversation. Conversational situations can occur between at least two or more people in a language understood by both parties. During a conversation, one party acts as a speaker and the other party acts as a speech partner. In addition, in a conversation, the cooperation of all parties is needed so that the message to be conveyed in the communication is conveyed properly and the conversation that occurs takes place well and efficiently. This cooperation is carried out by complying with the principles and maxims that exist in society.

However, conversational activities do not always adhere to the principle of cooperation. This happens intentionally or unintentionally due to a lack of understanding of the speech partner or speaker with the principle of cooperation that must be obeyed (Citra, 2020: p.18). Along with the development of the times, communication is now not only done with people who are known face to face. However, communication can be done through social media with anyone. The existence of social media makes the limitations of time, distance, and place not a problem in communicating. Besides that, social media is a place to report an event or situation that is happening somewhere.

Along with the development of the times, social media emerged with various types and characteristics of each. One of the social media that is often used is Twitter. The app features posts, known as tweets, about the anger, sadness, disappointment, and happiness of its users. Apart from writing, Twitter can also upload pictures or videos (Olenti and Herman, 2019:

p.149). Besides being able to upload these tweets to personal accounts, they can also be uploaded to accounts that have thousands of followers with the aim of being able to comment on more other Twitter users. Accounts with thousands of followers are known as bases and the tweets on these accounts are known as manfess.

Manfess originates from messages sent by other Twitter users with certain requirements via Direct Message with the aim that messages sent can be uploaded on that base. One of them is the @tanyarl account which contains various manifestations every day and does not have a specific category. On the @tanyarl account there are many viral manfess, one of the factors is that the manfess contains topics that are currently being discussed or contains pros and cons so that it provokes a lot of comments. From several comments, many comments violate the principle of cooperation in communication, so that communication does not go well. The principle of cooperation is carried out when speakers and speech partners work together to achieve the main goal of communication (Setiawati and Arista, 2018: p.41). The principle of cooperation has four maxims known as Grice's maxims, namely the maxim of quantity, maxim of quality, maxim of relation, and maxim of manner.

The maxi of quantity requires the speaker to provide information concisely and clearly. The information provided must be effective, not excessive and not lacking, and the speaker's contribution is given sufficiently according to the needs of the speech partner. This is to avoid any misunderstanding in communication. Furthermore, the maxim of quality requires speakers to convey information that is clearly true. This information must be based on facts and have evidence so that the statement is true. The maxim of relation requires the speaker to convey information related to the purpose of communicating. In conveying information, speakers are required to convey information effectively and on target so that the information will reach the speech partner properly. The last form of the maxim on the principle of cooperation is the maxim of way of demanding that the speaker express his thoughts clearly with clear sentences. It is intended that the information conveyed is clear and coherent so that the information is not ambiguous (Setiawati and Arista, 2018: p.45).

Research on the principle of cooperation has been carried out by several researchers. Research on violations of the principle of cooperation in Kery Astina's video content on TikTok by Afiya, Ardiati, Amelia, and Sunarni was conducted in 2022. The research resulted that Kery Astina's videos on TikTok occurred in violation of the cooperative principle. Violation of the maxim of quality that occurs is caused by the absence of evidence and lack of belief in the truth of the utterance. Furthermore, the violation of the maxim of quantity occurs due to the incompatibility of the information given by the speaker to the hearer. Lastly, the maxim of relevance is violated because contributions to the speech before and after are not found. The violation was done intentionally with the aim of creating humor.

Siregar and Kusyani will carry out further research in 2021. The research is entitled Expressive Speech Actions in Bu Tejo's Meme Look on Twitter as Teaching Materials for Middle School Students (A Pragmatic Study). The results of this study found that expressive speech acts of criticizing and satirizing were found to be the most common than expressive speech acts of thanking, complaining and others. The found speech acts are useful in learning activities in fostering students' critical thinking motivation and media in learning. Based on previous research that has been described, the difference between this research and research conducted by researchers lies in the object of research. This research was conducted to find out violations of cooperation principles on the @tanyarl account and to find out netizens' understanding of the importance of complying with cooperative principles to achieve the same and correct communication goals.

RESEARCH METHODS

This research is a descriptive qualitative research. Qualitative research is research that does not use data in the form of numbers. According to Creswell (Sugiyono, 2015: p.19) qualitative research is research that seeks to understand social events that exist in society individually or in groups so that the results found will be presented narratively. The subjects of this study were comments on the @tanyarl account comment column. This study used a literature study data collection technique. Literature study is research conducted by collecting data from related journals which aims to solve problems critically and in depth towards an object of research.

This study uses a literature study about language errors in the comments column of the Twitter account @tanyarl. Search data on the @tanyarl account is based on the most popular categories with over a thousand comments and likes. The data search found five manfesses with findings of 20 speech data which indicated violations of the principle of cooperation. Furthermore, the data is sorted and grouped based on four maxims on the principle of cooperation. Then the data is analyzed and presented in a narrative for easy understanding. In the final step, conclusions are drawn according to the title and purpose of this study.

RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Research result

Based on the research that has been done, a violation of the principle of cooperation was found in the manfess @tanyarl comment column. The violations found were violations of the quantity maxim, quality maxim violations, relationship maxim violations, and method maxim violations. Based on this presentation, 6 data were found for violations of the quantity maxim, 4 data for violations of the quality maxim, 8 data for violations of the maxim of relation, and 2 data for violations of the maxim of manner. The violation of the principle of cooperation is described as follows:

1. The Maxim of Quantity

Context: (picture of a mouse with a rope around its neck, like a pet) early in the morning like this it's nice to take your pet for a walk so it won't stress him out. Data(1): @amgf: w said the sender had to go for a walk with wednesday, so the sender brought rats, wenesday scorpions wkwkwkwkw. Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1599969383664668672?s=19> accessed December 6, 2022

@amgf's comment violates the maxim of quantity by exaggerating the information provided. The context of the manfess shows a rat-speaking pet being treated like any other pet being taken for a walk. Meanwhile @amgf gave an exaggerated suggestion shown by the sentence "w said the sender had to travel with Wednesday" (I said the manfess sender had to travel with wenesday). The information overload is because @amgf advised the speaker to take a wenesday walk with his scorpion, even though the speaker only wanted to show his pet. This comment was triggered by the assumption that speakers like keeping animals that are not common, such as mice in the manfess. Then came @amgf's comments that didn't match the speaker's intent. The speaker's intention is to show his pet that is different from the others.

Context: Have you ever gone without sleep for 2 days?? Data(2): @xx: not for 2 days without waking up, but once I wake up I'm awake for a while then sleep again for up to 2 days Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1600164431018995712?s=19> accessed December 6, 2022.

@xx's comment violates the maxim of quantity by exaggerating the information provided. The context of the manifest asks about the experience of not sleeping for two days. Meanwhile, @xx did not give an unclear answer and exaggerated the information conveyed marked by the sentence "not if it's 2 days without getting up, but when I wake up I'm awake for a while and then sleep again for up to 2 days" (only awake for a while and then sleep again for up to two days). The information is superfluous because @xx tells about his experience of sleeping for two days. Even though the speaker asked about the experience of not sleeping for two days and the comment did not match the speaker's intention. This was triggered by the unclear intent of the speaker who did not include clear punctuation marks in the manifest, thus triggering misunderstandings in the comments column, one of which was the @xx comment.

Context: (screenshot of a man's conversation asking his partner about accompanying him from zero. But his partner refuses because he feels he has struggled from zero himself and asks the man to fight first so he can level up to be able to contact him again) how How do you feel when you're rejected by a girl like this?. Including material or not this girl. Data(3): @52HD: unpopular opinion: guys who loudly ask to be accompanied by 0 usually 0 trs, once successful many forget themselves because from the beginning the logic "yes if I'm already successful while I'm already not a girl like you" can be seen when confronted sm girls are realistic at the start, they usually say "matre" Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1600163166142738432?s=19> accessed December 6, 2022.

@52HD's comment violates the maxim of quantity by exaggerating the information provided. The context seeks justification for the attitude of the partner which is considered material by the speaker. This was marked by the answer from the partner who did not want to accompany him from scratch because the partner felt that he was struggling alone and asked the speaker to fight first and then contact him again. Meanwhile, @52HD did not give a clear answer and exaggerated the information conveyed marked by the sentence "unpopular opinion: guys who loudly ask to be accompanied from 0 are usually 0 trs" (unpopular opinion: men who loudly ask for company from zero are usually zero Keep going). This superfluous information does not clearly answer the speaker's question, but gives an unpopular opinion on the speaker's attitude. Meanwhile, the intention of the speaker is to seek justification for the attitude he has towards his partner.

Context: (screenshot of a man's conversation asking his partner about accompanying him from zero. But his partner refuses because he feels he has struggled from zero himself and asks the man to fight first so he can level up to be able to contact him again) how How do you feel when you are rejected by a girl like this? Including material or not this girl. Data(4): @ck: Can't you tell the difference between MATTER and THINK LONG? Matremah if he shoots you you FOOL! He's blunt, he's trying and doesn't want to be at ZERO, AND you come CAPITAL LOVE invites people's children to make life HARD. CAN YOU THINK?. Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1600163166142738432?s=19> accessed 6 December 2022.

@ck's comment violates the maxim of quantity by exaggerating the information provided. The context seeks justification for the attitude of the partner which is considered material by the speaker. This was marked by the answer from the partner who did not want to accompany him from scratch because the partner felt that he was struggling alone and asked the speaker to fight first and then contact him again. Meanwhile, @ck did not provide a clear answer and exaggerated the information presented marked

with the sentence "Can't distinguish between MATTER and THINK LONG, YES? Matremah if he shoots you you FOOL! He's blunt, he's trying and doesn't want to be at ZERO, AND you come CAPITAL LOVE invites people's children to make life HARD. CAN YOU THINK?". This redundant information does not clearly answer the speaker's question, but @ck gives the speaker a repeat question with the intention of the speaker being able to rethink his attitude towards his partner. The intention of the speaker is to seek justification for the attitude he has towards his partner. Context: (screenshot from the TikTok application showing the video of the Suramadu bridge in 2008. The uploader bought the cellphone in 2020 and felt that he had never owned the video but after a year the video appeared in his gallery and he felt strange) there is an indi*o child no sis, this video gives goosebumps.

Data(5): @ucd: so he bought a 2nd cell phone as long as he was using it from the beginning he bought the video stick in the gallery, but it was right in semester 4 as he captioned it, there was the video, the video was the 2009 video on the Suramadu bridge, after He often doesn't have calls when someone calls him "dear", I don't know the context - ns. Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1600161904823250945?s=19> accessed 6 December 2022. @ucd's comment violated the maxim of quantity by exaggerating the information provided. The context of the manfess is a video from when he was in Suramadu which suddenly appeared on his cellphone gallery. Meanwhile, he bought a cell phone a year ago and the video recently appeared. This made the manfess sender feel goosebumps and asked the whereabouts of the indi*o child to look at the video. @ucd's comment provides a re-explanation indicated by the sentence "so he bought a 2nd cellphone as long as he used it from the start buying the video stick in the gallery, but right in semester 4 as in his caption, there's the video, the video is the 2009 video on the Suramadu bridge, after that he often doesn't have calls when someone calls him "dear", the context doesn't know -ns". Information overload @ucd was triggered because @ucd had watched the video first on the tiktok app. Meanwhile, @ucd's intention is to explain the information he has received on TikTok regarding the video so that there are no misunderstandings. Meanwhile, the speaker's intention was to ask the indigos for an explanation from the results of the video viewing.

Context: (screenshot of a video from tiktok which shows a woman wearing a mukenah and showing off her nail art. In the video it says that she is determined to pray even though she has nail art + eyelash) even though one of the valid conditions for prayer is to have reason. Data(6) @ldw: the sleep of knowledgeable people is better than the prayers of stupid people (don't want to study and find out about the knowledge of the religion they believe in) Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1602640736813318144?s=19> accessed 13 December 2022

@ldw's comment violates the maxim of quantity by exaggerating the information provided. The context of the manfess is that the sender insinuated a video that he captured the screen from Tiktok. The screenshot shows a woman wearing a mukenah showing off her nail art and eyelashes but still praying. Meanwhile, @ldw did not provide a clear answer, but gave a statement with the sentence "the sleep of a knowledgeable person is better than the prayer of a stupid person". This excessive information intends to express the same opinion as the speaker through allusions to the owner of the video that was captured on the screen on the manfess.

2. Maxim of Quality

Context: (a picture of a mouse with a rope around its neck, like a pet) early in the morning like this it's good to take your pet for a walk so it won't stress him out. Data(7) @mmg: eh nder, poor rat :(can't let it go? If it really bothers you, don't let it go near the house. Or try it on an empty lot like that :(I can't bear to see it Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1599969383664668672?s=19> accessed December 6, 2022.

@mmg's comment violates the maxim of quality because the information provided is not necessarily correct. The context of the manifest shows a rat-speaking pet being treated like any other pet being taken for a walk. Meanwhile @mmg doesn't have the heart for the rat and if the mouse bothers, @mmg advises the speaker to release it near the house or on an empty lot. The @mmg comment has no evidence that the speaker is bothered by the presence of the mouse. The speaker's intention is to show his pet that is different from the others.

Context: Have you ever gone without sleep for 2 days?? Data(8) @uctn: like hallucinations, xylophone like someone who's drunk, but want to sleep can't sleep Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1600164431018995712?s=19> accessed December 6, 2022.

@uctn's comment violates the maxim of quality because the information provided is not necessarily correct. The context of the manifest asks about the experience of not sleeping for two days. Meanwhile, @uctn gave a comment that did not give a clear answer to the speaker's question, but told the effect he experienced when he was not sleeping, namely hallucinations and like someone who was intoxicated, but when he wanted to sleep he couldn't. @uctn's comment is not necessarily true because the effect is not the same for everyone.

Context: (screenshot of the conversation the man asks the woman about accompanying her from point zero. However, the woman refuses because she feels she has struggled from zero herself and asks the man to fight first to go up a level to be able to contact her again) how do you feel? lo if rejected girl like this. Including material or not this girl. Data(9) @ppdf: My positive thinking is that I want to ask someone to accompany me to buy petrol starting from 0. Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1600163166142738432?s=19> accessed December 6, 2022.

@ppdf's comment violates the maxim of quality because the information provided is not necessarily correct. The manifest context seeks justification for the attitude of the partner which is considered material by the speaker. This was marked by the answer from the partner who did not want to accompany him from scratch because the partner felt that he was struggling alone and asked the speaker to fight first and then contact him again. Meanwhile, @ppdf did not provide a clear answer, but gave a presumption that the speaker wants to invite his partner to buy gasoline, starting from zero. The prejudice conveyed by @ppdf has no proof of truth that the speaker's intention from zero was to invite his partner to buy gas. The intention of the speaker is to seek justification for the attitude he has towards his partner.

Context: (screenshot of a video from tiktok which shows a woman wearing a mukenah and showing off her nail art. In the video it says that she is determined to pray even though she has nail art + eyelash) even though one of the valid conditions for prayer is to have reason. Data(10) @ahm: mailaikat: still determined not to bully your charity even though you pray thousands of times. @dsm: indeed only angel judgments are valid

and non-negotiable. Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1602640736813318144?s=19> accessed 13 December 2022.

The comments of @ahm and @dsm violate the maxim of quality because the information provided is not necessarily correct. The context of the manfess is that the sender insinuated a video that he captured the screen from Tiktok. The screenshot shows a woman wearing a mukenah showing off her nail art and eyelashes but still praying. Meanwhile, @ahm's comment said "mailaikat: keep determined not to bully your charity even though you pray thousands of times". Furthermore, @dsm also commented "only angel judgments are valid and not negotiable". The information submitted by @ahm is not necessarily true, that the angels did not record it as a prayer for the owner of the video. furthermore, @dsm's comment also has no proof of truth and supports the information provided by @ahm that only the judgment of angels is valid and non-negotiable.

3. Maxim of Relation

Context: (a picture of a mouse with a rope around its neck, like a pet) early in the morning like this it's good to take your pet for a walk so it won't stress him out. Data(11). @pdy: that's curvy, isn't it like in what movie is the chef curt. Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1599969383664668672?s=19> accessed December 6, 2022. @pdy's comment violates the maxim of relationship because the information provided is irrelevant. The context of the manfess shows a rat-speaking pet being treated like any other pet being taken for a walk. Meanwhile, @pdy commented that the rat was similar to the curvy character who became a chef in one of the films. This has a different purpose than speakers who show rats as their pets. @pdy's comment was triggered after he read the manfess uploaded by the speaker. @pdy saw the rat in the manfess reminding him of the rat character in one of the films, but he did not remember the name of the mouse character and the film so @pdy commented with the aim of knowing the name of the character and film he was referring to.

Context: (a picture of a mouse with a rope around its neck, like a pet) early in the morning like this it's good to take your pet for a walk so it won't stress him out. Data(12) @plp: be careful he's actually pettigrew voldemort's spy. Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1599969383664668672?s=19> accessed 6 December 2022.

@plp's comment violates the maxim of relation because the information provided is irrelevant. The context of the manfess shows a rat-speaking pet being treated like any other pet being taken for a walk. Meanwhile, @plp said that you have to be careful with the rat because the rat is actually Pettigrew, a Voldemort spy. Pettigrew is one of the film characters in the Harry Potter films. This has a different purpose than speakers who show rats as their pets. The @xn comment was triggered after he read the manfess uploaded by the speaker. @plp seeing the rat in the manfess reminded him of Pettigrew, a Voldemort spy, so @plp likened the rat in the manfess to Pettigrew in the Harry Potter movie and aims to urge speakers to be careful with their rats.

Context: (a picture of a mouse with a rope around its neck, like a pet) early in the morning like this it's good to take your pet for a walk so it won't stress him out. Data(13) @mb: arghhhh why do Twitter people like to make random things. Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1599969383664668672?s=19> accessed 6 December 2022.

@mb's comment violates the maxim of relation because the information provided is irrelevant. The context of the manfess shows a rat-speaking pet being treated like any other pet being taken for a walk. Meanwhile, @mb said that people on Twitter always do whatever they want. This has a different purpose than speakers who show rats as their pets. The @mb comment was triggered after he read the manfess uploaded by the speaker. @mb seeing the speaker's treatment of the rat reminded him of the random tweets on Twitter according to the wishes of the Twitter users.

Context: Have you ever gone without sleep for 2 days?? Data(14) @vzz: are there humans who don't sleep for 2 days? Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1600164431018995712?s=19> accessed December 6, 2022. @vzz's comment violates the maxim of relationship because the information provided is irrelevant. The context of the manfess asks about the experience of not sleeping for two days. Meanwhile @vzz didn't give an answer, but asked again as if he wasn't sure that a human had been awake for two days. The purpose of the speaker is to ask about the experience of being awake for two days. This is different from the goal of @vzz who commented asking again to convince himself that there are people who haven't slept for two days.

Context: (screenshot of the conversation the man asks the woman about accompanying her from point zero. However, the woman refuses because she feels she has struggled from zero herself and asks the man to fight first to go up a level to be able to contact her again) how do you feel? lo if rejected girl like this. Including material or not this girl. Data(15) @aa: what is the definition of the zero point? Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1600163166142738432?s=19> accessed 6 December 2022.

@aa's comment violates the maxim of relation because the information provided is irrelevant. The manfess context seeks justification for the attitude of the partner which is considered material by the speaker. This was indicated by the answer from the partner who did not want to accompany him from scratch because the partner felt that he was struggling alone and asked the speaker to fight first and then contact him again. Meanwhile, @aa commented by asking the speaker again about the meaning of the zero point he meant. The purpose of the speaker is to find justification for the attitude he is doing to his partner. This is different from the purpose of the speaker with @aa's comments as a speech partner.

Context: (screenshot of the conversation the man asks the woman about accompanying her from point zero. However, the woman refuses because she feels she has struggled from zero herself and asks the man to fight first to go up a level to be able to contact her again) how do you feel? lo if rejected girl like this. Including material or not this girl. Data(16) @st: if you don't want to be invited to point 0, you can be invited to Alun-alun Kidul, to Amplas, to Heha, to eat warm Bromo, or to eat Ratu satay. You don't have to go to point 0, bro. Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1600163166142738432?s=19> accessed 6 December 2022.

@st's comment violates the maxim of relation because the information provided is irrelevant. The manfess context seeks justification for the attitude of the partner which is considered material by the speaker. This was indicated by the answer from the partner who did not want to accompany him from scratch because the partner felt that he was struggling alone and asked the speaker to fight first and then contact him again. Meanwhile, @st gave him advice that inviting a partner doesn't have to be zero. The comment is marked with the sentence "if you don't want to be invited to point 0, you can be invited to

Alun-alun Kidul, to Amplas, to Heha, to eat warm Bromo, or to eat Ratu satay. You don't have to go to point 0, bro." The zero point referred to by the speaker is the condition of the speaker who has nothing. Meanwhile, the meaning of point zero in @st's comment is a place in Yogyakarta, to be precise, close to the Malioboro area. Based on this explanation, the goals of speakers and @st's comments are very different.

Context: (screenshot from the TikTok application showing the video of the Suramadu bridge in 2008. The uploader bought the cellphone in 2020 and felt that he had never owned the video but after a year the video appeared in his gallery and he felt strange) there is an indi*o child No, this video really gives me goosebumps. Data(17). @ay: What I hate: tiktok content moved to twitter, twitter to fb, fb to family groups... also there's no fun playing social media now. Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1600161904823250945?s=19> accessed 6 December 2022.

@ay's comment violates the maxim of relation because the information provided is irrelevant. The context of the manfess is a video from when he was in Suramadu which suddenly appeared on his cellphone gallery. Meanwhile, he bought a cell phone a year ago and the video recently appeared. This made the manfess sender feel goosebumps and asked the whereabouts of the indi*o child to look at the video. Meanwhile, @ay opined with the sentence "What I hate: TikTok content moved to Twitter, Twitter to Facebook, Facebook to family groups... there's also no fun playing social media now." @ay's opinion describes his frustration with Twitter users who like to move other social media content to Twitter, so he feels that playing social media is not as fun as it used to be. Meanwhile, the speaker's intention was to ask the indigos for an explanation from the results of the video viewing. @ay's comment and the speaker do not have the same goal so it violates the relationship maxim.

Context: (screenshot of a video from tiktok which shows a woman wearing a mukenah and showing off her nail art. In the video it says that she is determined to pray even though she has nail art + eyelash) even though one of the valid conditions for prayer is to have reason. Data(18) @ac: (photo holding a henna container and showing black nails) sorry oot. If you use henna, is it valid to pray? Or is it the same? @snk: don't want to ask, is this item durable or not? When the item is finished it becomes orange? Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1602640736813318144?s=19> accessed 13 December 2022.

@ac and @snk's comments violate the maxim of relation because the information provided is irrelevant. The context of the manfess is that the sender insinuated a video that he captured the screen from Tiktok. The screenshot shows a woman wearing a mukenah showing off her nail art and eyelashes but still praying. Before @ac commented, he had said "sorry oot" (sorry for being out of topic) thus indicating that his comment was out of topic. Furthermore, @snk's comment also has the same goal as @ac's comment, which is to ask about the legality of the emakai henna prayer and also ask about the color resistance of black henna. Based on this explanation, @snk's comment has the same purpose as @ac's comment, but does not have the same purpose as the speaker so that both comments violate the relationship maxim.

4. Maxim of Manner

Context: (a picture of a mouse with a rope around its neck, like a pet) early in the morning like this it's good to take your pet for a walk so it won't stress him out. Data(19)

@njap: just go to space so you can learn to fight gravity. Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1599969383664668672?s=19> accessed December 6, 2022. @njap's comment violates the maxim of manner because the information provided in the comment is not clear. The context of the manifest shows a rat-speaking pet being treated like any other pet being taken for a walk. Meanwhile, @njap commented suggesting speakers to go to space so they could learn to fight gravity. The comments contain ambiguous suggestions, because the advice given for space is impossible to do easily and the advice for learning to fight gravity is also unclear to whom it is intended.

Context: (screenshot from the TikTok application showing the video of the Suramadu bridge in 2008. The uploader bought the cellphone in 2020 and felt that he had never owned the video but after a year the video appeared in his gallery and he felt strange) there is an indigo child No, this video really gives me goosebumps. Data(20). @y537: (screenshot of the map of the condition of the Suramadu bridge) then what's this under:"((it's so big how can there be a building that big or something outside the galaxy please. @y537: (screenshot of the map of the Suramadu bridge from afar) additional under From afar, you can't see a building as big as before Source: <https://twitter.com/tanyakanrl/status/1600161904823250945?s=19> accessed December 6, 2022

@y537's comment violates the maxim of manner because the information provided in the comment is not clear. The context of the manifest is a video from when he was in Suramadu which suddenly appeared on his cellphone gallery. Meanwhile, he bought a cell phone a year ago and the video recently appeared. This made the manifest sender feel goosebumps and asked the whereabouts of the indigo child to look at the video. Meanwhile, @y537 commented by showing a screenshot he took of the condition of the Suramadu bridge with the sentence "then what is this under :"(it's so big how can there be a building that big or something outside the galaxy please). @y375 also shows a screenshot of the bridge's appearance Suramadu from afar with the sentence "additional under from a distance, you can't see a building as big as before." Based on his two comments, @y357 showed a screenshot of the screenshot from google maps so that the speaker's question asking indigo children to observe the video in Suramadu went unanswered Furthermore, @y537 also commented with ambiguous sentences to express his amazement at the large building in the picture using the sentence "please outside the galaxy". This meant that the building he saw was really big and he never thought he could see it.

Discussion

Based on the findings that have been described above, Found 5 most popular manifest on @tanyarl account. In 5 manifest selected the most popular category with the most comments and likes. Based on the 5 manifests, 20 utterance data were found with 6 data on violation of the quantity maxim, 4 data on violation of the quality maxim, 8 data on violation of the maxim of relation, and 2 data on violation of the manner maxim. The most frequent violations are found in relational maxims. This is in accordance with the findings which show that there are still many comments by the speech partner who do not have the same goal as the speaker. Based on these findings, the principle of cooperation or Grice's maxim (Setiawati and Arista, 2018: p.41) is still commonly found in the comments column on social media, especially on Twitter on the @tanyarl account.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of this study there are still many comments from Twitter users who violate the principle of cooperation. This violation occurs due to intentional or unintentional factors caused by a lack of understanding of the speech partner or speaker with the principle of cooperation that must be obeyed. In addition, violations of the principle of cooperation can also occur due to a person's habits, culture, and character so that it is carried over to the social media comment column. This explanation can be a reflection to adhere to the principles of cooperation so as to minimize misunderstandings in communication.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Afiya, F., Ardiati, R. L., Amelia, R. M., & Sunarni, N. (2022). Pelanggaran prinsip kerja sama pada konten video kery astina di. *METAHUMANIORA - Jurnal Bahasa, Sastra, dan Budaya*, 12(2), 204–210. <https://doi.org/10.24198/metahumaniora.v12i2.37670>
- Citra, E. (2020). Pelanggaran Prinsip Kerja Sama Dalam Percakapan Najwa Shihab Dan Joko Widodo di METRO TV. *Konfiks: Jurnal Bahasa, Sastra dan Pengajaran*, 7(2), 17–26.
- Olenti, N. ., & Herman. (2019). Tindak Tutur Ekspresif dalam Twitter. *JURNAL TUAH: Pendidikan dan Pengajaran Bahasa*, 1(2).
- Setiawati, E., & Arista, H. D. (2018). *Piranti Pemahaman Komunikasi dalam Wacana Interaksional: Kajian Pragmatik*. UB Press.
- Siregar, R. A., & Kusyani, D. (2021). Tindak Tutur Ekspresif dalam Meme Bu Tejo Tilik di Twitter Sebagai Bahan Ajar Siswa SMP (Suatu Kajian Pragmatik). *Prasasti: Journal Of Linguistics*, 6(2), 226–238.