

Understanding Academic Stress and Achievement Motivation among UP Board Adolescents: A Qualitative Study of Challenges, Aspirations and Coping

Vartika Rajput¹ Alka Saxena²

Research Scholar C.S.J.M University, D.B.S College Kanpur, India^{1,2}

Email: rajputvartika1305@gmail.com¹ alkasaxena345@gmail.com²

Abstract

Academic stress is a significant concern among adolescents because secondary education often involves examinations, competition, parental and teacher expectations, and uncertainty about future educational and career opportunities. Achievement motivation also influences how students pursue academic goals, respond to challenges, and persist in their studies. Although quantitative studies can measure academic stress and achievement motivation, they may not adequately capture students' interpretations of these experiences. This study therefore aims to explore academic stress and achievement motivation among adolescents studying under the Uttar Pradesh Board of High School and Intermediate Education (UP Board), focusing on their challenges, aspirations, and coping experiences. A qualitative phenomenological design will be employed. Participants will be purposively selected from UP Board secondary schools. Data will be collected through semi-structured, in-depth interviews and analysed thematically. The study will examine students' perceptions of examination pressure, academic expectations, fear of failure, future aspirations, persistence, achievement motivation, and strategies for managing academic pressure. Existing literature indicates that academic stress arises from multiple sources, including school demands, family expectations, examinations, peer relationships, and future concerns. Research also shows that students use varied coping mechanisms, ranging from problem-focused approaches and social support to distraction and avoidance. The study is expected to deepen understanding of the relationship between academic stress and achievement motivation from students' perspectives and may offer useful implications for teachers, parents, counsellors, and schools.

Keywords: Academic Stress, Achievement Motivation, UP Board, Adolescents, Aspirations, Coping Strategies, Phenomenology, Qualitative Research



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is a significant developmental period marked by academic, emotional, social, and personal changes. At the secondary and higher-secondary levels, academic achievement is often viewed as important for future educational and career opportunities. Consequently, students may experience increasing pressure to perform well in examinations, complete academic tasks, obtain satisfactory marks, and meet parental and teacher expectations. Academic stress refers to the psychological and emotional pressure associated with perceived academic demands. These demands may include examinations, assignments, extensive syllabi, competition, grades, classroom performance, and expectations concerning future success. Stress does not have the same meaning for every student. A particular academic demand may motivate one student to work harder while causing another to experience anxiety, frustration, fear, or self-doubt.

Achievement motivation is closely related to how students approach academic challenges. It involves the desire to accomplish meaningful goals, demonstrate competence, sustain effort, and experience success. Students with strong achievement motivation may persist despite difficulties, whereas repeated stress or fear of failure may affect their willingness to take academic risks or continue with challenging tasks. The relationship between academic stress and achievement motivation is therefore complex. Stress may stimulate effort and

concentration when students perceive academic demands as manageable. However, excessive or prolonged stress may impair concentration, confidence, emotional well-being, and academic engagement. Understanding this complexity requires attention to students' individual experiences rather than reliance solely on numerical measures. This study focuses on adolescents studying under the Uttar Pradesh Board of High School and Intermediate Education (UP Board). It seeks to understand how these students experience academic pressure, describe their aspirations and achievement motivation, encounter academic challenges, and cope with academic stress.

Background of the Study

Academic stress among adolescents is shaped by multiple social and educational factors. Examination-oriented learning environments may create pressure because students associate academic performance with future opportunities. Family expectations may intensify this pressure, particularly when students believe that their performance determines their future status or career prospects. Qualitative research indicates that adolescents experience stress through interacting academic and social circumstances. Nagabharana et al. (2021), in a qualitative study of adolescents in urban India, found that family and academic pressures were important sources of stress. Participants described coping mechanisms including acceptance, distraction, spirituality, self-comfort, and efforts to manage difficult situations.

Similarly, Hosseinkhani et al. (2019) explored academic stress among Iranian adolescents and identified several categories of stressors, including family factors, peer relationships, teachers and educational systems, homework, examination scores, and additional educational classes. These findings demonstrate that academic stress rarely results from a single factor. Research in other cultural settings also highlights the importance of students' academic aspirations. A qualitative study of Chinese school adolescents reported that academic expectations were a significant source of stress and that stress affected students' emotional experiences, sleep, studies, and psychological well-being. Students also used varied coping approaches in response to academic pressure. These findings indicate that academic stress should be examined in relation to students' aspirations and motivation. Adolescents do not merely respond to academic pressure; they interpret it according to their goals, expectations, perceived abilities, relationships, and future plans.

Rationale of the Study

A substantial body of research on academic stress uses quantitative scales to assess stress levels or examine relationships between stress and other psychological variables. Although such studies provide valuable statistical evidence, they may not explain how students personally experience academic pressure. For example, a questionnaire may indicate that a student experiences high examination stress without revealing whether the stress arises from fear of failure, parental expectations, competition with classmates, uncertainty about future education, inadequate preparation, or dissatisfaction with teaching practices. Similarly, achievement motivation scores cannot fully explain what academic success means to students. One student may be motivated by personal interest, another by parental expectations, another by a desired career, and another by competition with peers. There is therefore a need to examine students' perspectives and the meanings they attach to academic stress and achievement motivation. A phenomenological approach can capture these lived experiences and provide a detailed account of the challenges, aspirations, and coping practices of UP Board adolescents.

Objectives of the Study

The study will be guided by the following objectives:

1. To explore the lived experiences of academic stress among UP Board adolescents.
2. To identify the major academic and social challenges perceived by UP Board adolescents.
3. To explore students' achievement-related aspirations and motivational experiences.
4. To understand how students perceive the influence of academic stress on their achievement motivation.
5. To explore the coping strategies students use to manage academic stress
6. To examine students' perceptions of support from parents, teachers, peers, and schools.
7. To obtain students' suggestions for creating a supportive academic environment.

Research Questions

The study will address the following research questions:

1. How do UP Board adolescents describe their experiences of academic stress?
2. What academic and social challenges contribute to their perceived stress?
3. What aspirations and achievement-related goals do students describe?
4. How do students perceive the relationship between academic stress and their motivation to achieve?
5. What strategies do students use to cope with academic pressure?
6. What forms of support do students consider helpful in managing academic stress?
7. What changes do students suggest for improving their academic experiences?

RESEARCH METHODS

1. Research Approach. The study will adopt a qualitative research approach because its purpose is to understand students' experiences, perceptions, meanings, aspirations, and coping practices in depth.
2. Research Design. A phenomenological research design will be used because the study focuses on adolescents' lived experiences of academic stress and their efforts to achieve academic goals.
3. Participants and Inclusion Criteria. The participants will comprise approximately 15–20 adolescents, with the final sample determined by data saturation. Participants will be recruited from selected UP Board secondary or higher-secondary schools. Eligible students must be enrolled in Classes IX–XII under the UP Board, be approximately 14–18 years old, have studied at the current school for at least one academic term, and be able to communicate their experiences in the interview language. Students must also be willing to participate and provide assent, with written informed consent obtained from a parent or legal guardian where required. Students who are not enrolled under the UP Board, fall outside the specified age or class range, cannot provide informed assent, or whose parents or guardians do not provide consent where required will be excluded. Students experiencing an acute psychological or medical crisis at recruitment will not be interviewed and will be referred to appropriate school or professional support in accordance with the approved protocol.
4. Sampling Technique. Purposive sampling will be employed. Participants will be selected because they can provide meaningful information about academic stress, achievement motivation, academic challenges, aspirations, and coping. Maximum variation may also be considered to represent diverse experiences, including variation in class level, gender, school context, and academic background where feasible.

5. Research Tool. Data will be collected through a semi-structured interview schedule. This format will provide a common framework across interviews while allowing participants to describe their experiences freely. Possible interview questions include:
 - a. What does academic stress mean to you?
 - b. Which academic situations make you feel pressured or stressed?
 - c. How do you feel when examinations are approaching?
 - d. What academic goals or aspirations do you have for your future?
 - e. What motivates you to work hard in your studies?
 - f. How do you react when you do not perform as expected?
 - g. Do expectations from parents or teachers influence your studies? How?
 - h. Does comparison with classmates affect your motivation?
 - i. What do you normally do when you experience academic stress?
 - j. Who supports you when you face academic difficulties?
 - k. What changes would make your academic life less stressful?
 - l. What would help you remain motivated towards your academic goals?
 - m. Probing questions such as "Can you explain this further?", "Can you describe an example?", and "How did you feel at that time?" may be used to obtain richer information.
6. Ethical Approval and Ethical Safeguards. Before recruitment and data collection, ethical approval will be obtained from the relevant Institutional Ethics Committee or Institutional Review Board. Permission will also be obtained from participating schools and appropriate educational authorities. Participants and their parents or legal guardians will receive an information sheet describing the study purpose, procedures, potential risks and benefits, confidentiality arrangements, voluntary nature of participation, and the right to withdraw without penalty. Written informed consent will be obtained from parents or legal guardians where required, and written assent from each adolescent participant. Interviews will avoid unnecessary probing into distressing experiences. If a participant becomes uncomfortable, the interview will be paused or discontinued, and information about appropriate counselling or support services will be provided in accordance with the approved protocol.
7. Data Collection Procedure. After ethical approval and institutional permissions are obtained, eligible students will be identified through purposive recruitment in collaboration with participating schools. The researcher will explain the study individually or in small groups, without teachers or peers present where possible, to minimize perceived pressure. Written parental or guardian consent and student assent will be obtained before interviews are scheduled. Individual interviews will be conducted in a private, quiet, and comfortable setting within the school or another approved location. Each interview will last approximately 30–45 minutes, although participants may take breaks or end the interview at any time. With explicit permission, interviews will be audio-recorded; if recording is declined, detailed written notes will be taken. Interviews will be conducted in the participant's preferred language, and recordings will be transcribed verbatim and translated where necessary while preserving intended meaning. Data collection will proceed iteratively. After each group of interviews, the researcher will review transcripts and field notes to identify emerging concepts, gaps, and areas requiring further probing. Recruitment and interviewing will continue until thematic saturation is reached, defined as the point at which successive interviews yield no substantially new codes, meanings, or dimensions relevant to the research questions. Saturation will be assessed through a saturation log documenting new and recurring codes after each interview. At least two additional interviews will be considered after preliminary saturation to confirm that no important new themes are emerging. Students will be informed that there are no right or wrong answers

and that their experiences and opinions are valuable. Pseudonyms or participant codes will be used during transcription, analysis, and reporting. Consent forms, audio files, transcripts, and identifying information will be stored separately in password-protected files or locked storage accessible only to the research team.

8. Data Analysis. Interview transcripts will be analysed using thematic analysis. Braun and Clarke's (2006) framework provides a systematic process for identifying and interpreting patterns in qualitative data. The analysis will involve:
 - a. Familiarization with interview transcripts and field notes.
 - b. Identification of meaningful statements and significant excerpts.
 - c. Generation of initial descriptive and interpretive codes
 - d. Identification of patterns across codes and comparison of accounts across participants.
 - e. Development of preliminary themes.
 - f. Review and refinement of themes in relation to coded extracts and the complete dataset.
 - g. Definition and naming of final themes.
 - h. Interpretation of themes in relation to the research questions and existing literature.
 - i. Maintenance of an audit trail documenting coding decisions, theme development, revisions, and reasons for analytic choices.
 - j. Transcripts will be coded systematically, and a subset will be independently reviewed by a qualitative research supervisor or peer where feasible.
 - k. Differences in interpretation will be discussed and resolved through dialogue rather than by assuming that one interpretation is automatically correct.
 - l. Negative cases and divergent accounts will be examined to ensure that the analysis does not represent only the most common experiences.

Trustworthiness will be strengthened through several strategies. Credibility will be supported through prolonged engagement with the data, iterative interviewing, participant rapport, peer or supervisor debriefing, and member reflection, in which participants may be invited to comment on a brief summary of their account or the preliminary interpretation where feasible and ethically appropriate. Dependability will be supported through a detailed audit trail and consistent documentation of recruitment, interviewing, transcription, coding, and analytic decisions. Confirmability will be enhanced through reflexive journaling, preservation of raw data and coding records, and discussion of interpretations with a supervisor or peer. Transferability will be supported through thick descriptions of participants, the school context, data collection procedures, and themes while protecting participant identities. The researcher will maintain reflexive notes throughout the analysis to remain attentive to personal assumptions and interpretations. Reflexivity will include recording prior beliefs about academic stress, achievement, schooling, and adolescent coping; noting emotional responses and possible power differences during interviews; documenting how the researcher's position, language, institutional affiliation, or relationship with schools may influence participants' responses; and considering alternative interpretations before finalizing themes. Reflexive notes will be reviewed alongside the data so that personal assumptions are acknowledged and their influence on the research process is made transparent.

9. Proposed Thematic Framework. The final themes will emerge from the interview data. However, the following thematic areas provide a useful framework for the study.
 - a. Theme 1: Examination Pressure and Academic Demands. Students may describe examinations, homework, syllabus completion, tests, and expectations regarding marks as important academic challenges. The meaning of examination pressure may differ according to students' preparation, confidence, and expectations.

- b. Theme 2: Expectations of Parents and Teachers. Students may discuss the influence of parents and teachers on their academic experiences. Expectations may be experienced as encouragement when students feel supported but as pressure when they believe their performance is never sufficient.
- c. Theme 3: Fear of Failure and Self-Expectations. Fear of poor performance may influence students' emotional experiences and academic behaviour. Students may describe concerns about disappointing parents, losing confidence, or failing to reach personal goals.
- d. Theme 4: Aspirations and Future Goals. Achievement motivation may emerge through students' descriptions of future careers, educational aspirations, personal goals, and reasons for studying. Some students may identify intrinsic reasons such as personal interest and self-development, whereas others may emphasize family expectations, employment, social status, or financial security.
- e. Theme 5: Persistence and Achievement Motivation. Students may describe their responses to academic difficulties. Persistence, effort, goal-setting, planning, and willingness to learn from mistakes may indicate motivational experiences. Conversely, repeated failure or excessive pressure may reduce confidence or willingness to continue.
- f. Theme 6: Peer Comparison and Competition. Comparison with classmates may influence motivation in different ways. For some students, competition may encourage greater effort; for others, it may contribute to anxiety, self-doubt, or feelings of inadequacy.
- g. Theme 7: Coping with Academic Stress. Students may describe coping practices including study planning, taking breaks, talking with family or friends, listening to music, physical activity, prayer or spirituality, distraction, and spending time alone. The effectiveness and meaning of these strategies may vary among participants.
- h. Theme 8: Need for Supportive Educational Relationships. Students may emphasize the importance of teachers who listen to their concerns, parents who provide emotional support, and peers who offer encouragement. They may also suggest counselling services, manageable academic schedules, examination guidance, and opportunities for open communication.

Discussion

The proposed study views academic stress and achievement motivation as experiences shaped by students' educational and social environments. Academic stress does not necessarily affect motivation uniformly. Moderate pressure may encourage students to focus on their goals, whereas excessive pressure may impair confidence, concentration, and persistence. Qualitative literature indicates that adolescent stress is influenced by interacting contexts. Nagabharana et al. (2021) reported that adolescents identified family and academic pressures as important stressors and used diverse coping mechanisms. These findings suggest that students should be understood not only as individuals experiencing stress but also as individuals actively attempting to manage it. The relationship between academic stress and achievement motivation may depend on how students interpret academic demands. When students perceive a difficult task as achievable and connected to a meaningful goal, pressure may be experienced as a challenge. When the same task is perceived as impossible or associated with serious consequences, it may become a source of distress.

Students' aspirations are therefore central to the present study. Understanding why students seek achievement can reveal whether academic motivation arises primarily from personal goals, family expectations, career aspirations, competition, or a combination of these factors. The proposed qualitative exploration can also contribute to understanding coping.

Existing research suggests that adolescents use both constructive and less constructive strategies to manage stress. Schools may therefore need to help students develop coping approaches that address academic difficulties rather than merely provide temporary emotional relief.

Educational Implications

The study may have implications for several stakeholders.

1. For Teachers. Teachers may benefit from distinguishing between motivating students and unintentionally increasing pressure. Constructive feedback, realistic expectations, encouragement, and opportunities to ask questions may foster a more supportive classroom environment.
2. For Parents. Parents can significantly shape students' academic experiences. Encouraging effort and learning rather than focusing exclusively on marks may help students develop healthier attitudes towards achievement.
3. For Schools. Schools may consider integrating counselling, stress-management activities, study-skills programmes, and student-support systems into their educational practices. Regular opportunities for students to express academic concerns may also be beneficial.
4. For Counsellors. Counsellors can help students identify sources of stress, understand personal goals, develop coping strategies, and distinguish realistic academic challenges from overwhelming pressure.
5. For Students. Students may benefit from developing realistic academic goals, effective study routines, time-management skills, help-seeking behaviour, and healthy coping strategies. Recognizing that academic success involves effort and learning rather than marks alone may also support a healthier achievement orientation.

Limitations of the Study

The qualitative nature of the study means that findings will reflect the experiences of selected participants and will not be statistically generalizable to all UP Board adolescents. Purposive sampling and recruitment from a limited number of schools may also restrict the range of experiences represented, particularly across regions, school types, socioeconomic groups, and family contexts. Students may hesitate to discuss sensitive experiences involving family expectations, academic failure, teachers, or emotional difficulties, especially when recruitment occurs through schools. Perceived power differences between the researcher, school authorities, and students may influence participation or responses despite efforts to conduct interviews privately and emphasize voluntariness. Data quality will depend partly on the trust established between the researcher and participants, participants' willingness and ability to articulate their experiences, and the accuracy of translation when interviews are conducted in languages other than the language of analysis. Retrospective accounts may also be affected by memory and current emotional circumstances.

Although saturation procedures, reflexive journaling, peer or supervisor review, member reflection where feasible, and an audit trail will strengthen trustworthiness, qualitative interpretation remains influenced by the researcher's position and analytic decisions. The researcher will therefore document assumptions, power relations, emotional responses, and changes in interpretation throughout the study. These strategies can increase transparency but cannot eliminate subjectivity entirely. Students' experiences may also differ according to school environment, gender, socioeconomic circumstances, academic level, family background, and personal aspirations. Findings should therefore be interpreted within the context in which the research is conducted rather than assumed to apply uniformly to all UP Board adolescents.

CONCLUSION

Academic stress and achievement motivation are important dimensions of adolescents' educational experiences. For UP Board students, academic life may involve examinations, performance expectations, family aspirations, competition, career concerns, and the pursuit of meaningful educational goals. These experiences may affect students in different ways. The proposed phenomenological study seeks to understand these experiences through students' voices. By exploring challenges, aspirations, motivation, and coping strategies, it can move beyond assessing how much academic stress students experience to examining what academic stress means to them, why they experience it, how it influences their motivation, and how they attempt to manage it. The study may provide useful insights for teachers, parents, counsellors, and educational institutions seeking to create supportive learning environments. A student-centred understanding of academic stress can help stakeholders recognize that achievement is not merely an outcome represented by marks. It is also connected with students' aspirations, effort, confidence, relationships, emotional experiences, and perceptions of their future.

The novelty of this study lies in its qualitative phenomenological examination of academic stress and achievement motivation among adolescents within the specific sociocultural and educational context of the Uttar Pradesh Board (UP Board), a context that remains comparatively underexplored in existing research dominated by quantitative and cross-sectional approaches. Rather than treating academic stress and achievement motivation as isolated measurable constructs, this study seeks to capture how adolescents themselves interpret examination pressure, parental and teacher expectations, fear of failure, future aspirations, and persistence, and how these experiences shape the ways in which they cope with academic demands. By integrating students' lived experiences of stress, motivational processes, aspirations, and coping strategies within a single analytical framework, the study extends existing scholarship from identifying the prevalence or correlates of academic stress toward understanding the subjective mechanisms through which stressful educational experiences may influence achievement-oriented behaviour. This context-sensitive perspective is expected to generate empirically grounded insights into the interaction between educational pressures, motivational aspirations, and coping practices, thereby providing a more nuanced basis for culturally responsive interventions by teachers, parents, counsellors, and educational institutions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
- Chen, Y., et al. (2023). Chinese school adolescents' stress experience and coping strategies: A qualitative study. *BMC Psychology*.
- Hosseinkhani, Z., Nedjat, S., Hassanabadi, H. R., & Parsaeian, M. (2019). Academic stress from the viewpoint of Iranian adolescents: A qualitative study. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 8, 5.
- Nagabharana, T. K., Joseph, S., Rizwana, A., Krishna, M., Barker, M., Fall, C., Kumaran, K., & Krishnaveni, G. V. (2021). What stresses adolescents? A qualitative study on perceptions of stress, stressors and coping mechanisms among urban adolescents in India. *Wellcome Open Research*, 6, 106.
- Park, S. H., & Kim, Y. (2018). Ways of coping with excessive academic stress among Korean adolescents during leisure time. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*, 13(1), 1505397.