Aurelia: Jurnal Penelitian dan Pengabdian Masyarakat Indonesia
E-ISSN: 2964-2493 P-ISSN: 2962-0430
Vol. 5 No. 1 Januari 2026

Historical Analysis of World War II and its Implications for the Readiness of the RSPAD Medical Laboratory

Endra Tri Prabowo[1] Arifuddin Uksan[2] Yudi Subiantoro[3]
Study Program of Land Defense Strategy, Faculty of Defense Strategy, Republic of Indonesia Defense University, Jakarta, Indonesia[1,2,3]
Email: endratriprabowo@gmail.com[1] arifuddinuksan123@gmail.com[2] yudisubiantoro.mail@gmail.com[3]

Abstract

World War II marked a critical turning point in the development of military medical laboratories, transforming them from purely clinical support units into strategic elements of national defense systems. Operational pressures on the battlefield, high rates of infectious diseases, and the threat of biological weapons stimulated innovations such as field laboratories, rapid diagnostic methods, mass production of antibiotics, and military sanitation protocols. These historical lessons remain highly relevant in addressing contemporary health threats, making it essential to examine their implications for the readiness of the Medical Laboratory of RSPAD Gatot Soebroto as the primary military hospital of the Indonesian Army. This study aims to analyze the development of military medical laboratories during World War II and its implications for the readiness of laboratory infrastructure, medical human resources, and operational protocols at RSPAD. This research employs a descriptive qualitative approach, with data collected through interviews, analysis of official documents, academic literature review, and observation, and examined using data triangulation. The analytical framework is based on the Military Health System, particularly the Clinical Readiness Program (CRP) and Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSA), supported by perspectives from defense studies and Historical Institutionalism. The findings indicate that World War II functioned as a critical juncture that shaped patterns of military medical laboratory readiness, subsequently adopted by RSPAD through strengthened laboratory infrastructure, enhanced medical personnel readiness, and the implementation of biosurveillance and outbreak response protocols. The study concludes that effective medical laboratory readiness can only be achieved through the integrated development of infrastructure, human resources, and operational protocols. It is recommended that RSPAD strengthen the sustained implementation of CRP and KSA while further developing mobile laboratory capabilities and expanding health defense cooperation.

Keywords: *World War II, Military Medical Laboratory, Military Health Readiness, CRP, KSA*

INTRODUCTION

World War II represents a decisive turning point in the evolution of military medicine and the institutionalization of medical laboratories as strategic assets within national defense systems. The unprecedented scale of casualties, the widespread transmission of infectious diseases, and the emergence of chemical and biological threats compelled major powers to transform medical support from a purely clinical function into an integrated component of military strategy (Harrison, 2004; Hoyt, 2015). During this period, innovations such as mobile field laboratories, rapid bacteriological diagnostics, mass production of penicillin, and standardized triage systems significantly increased operational survivability and force sustainability. These developments not only reshaped battlefield medicine but also laid the institutional foundation for modern military health systems. Historically, disease has often caused more casualties than direct combat. Lessons from both World War I and World War II demonstrated that preparedness in sanitation, laboratory diagnostics, and epidemiological surveillance was critical to maintaining combat effectiveness (Harrison, 2004). The establishment and expansion of coordinated medical research institutions, including collaborations between governments, universities, and industry, accelerated medical innovation under wartime pressure (Kass, 1987). Consequently, military medical laboratories evolved into strategic infrastructures capable of supporting both operational readiness and national biosecurity. From an institutional perspective, World War II can be understood as a *critical juncture* that reshaped the trajectory of military medical governance. Historical Institutionalism suggests that pivotal historical moments create long-lasting structural patterns that influence future policy development and organizational capacity (Steinmo, 2008; Thelen, 1999). The wartime institutionalization of laboratory systems, research coordination, and standardized medical protocols created path dependencies that continue to shape contemporary military health structures worldwide. Therefore, analyzing World War II is not merely a retrospective historical exercise, but a strategic inquiry into how institutional legacies inform present-day defense health readiness.

In the Indonesian context, the Medical Laboratory of RSPAD Gatot Soebroto serves as the primary military hospital laboratory within the Indonesian Army (TNI AD) and plays a strategic role in supporting both military operations and national health emergencies. Under the framework of national defense, health readiness is not limited to curative services but encompasses preventive capacity, rapid diagnostic capability, biosurveillance, and operational medical deployment (Holt et al., 2021). Contemporary threats including emerging infectious diseases, pandemics, hybrid warfare, and potential biological attacks demand laboratory systems that are adaptive, technologically integrated, and institutionally resilient. The Military Health System (MHS) framework, particularly through the Clinical Readiness Program (CRP) and Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSA) metrics, emphasizes the importance of sustained medical readiness even during peacetime to prevent capability degradation often described as the "Walker Dip" phenomenon (Walker, 2004; Holt et al., 2021). This conceptis highly relevant in evaluating whether current laboratory preparedness aligns with operational demands under high-risk scenarios.

Despite significant modernization efforts within RSPAD, there remains a conceptual and operational gap between the ideal model of defense-oriented laboratory readiness rooted in historical wartime transformation and contemporary institutional practice. Existing studies have examined wartime medicine, military health ethics, and institutional change separately (Harrison, 2004; Hoyt, 2015; Steinmo, 2008), yet limited research integrates historical analysis of World War II with an evaluative framework for current military laboratory readiness in Indonesia. Therefore, this study aims to analyze the historical development of military medical laboratories during World War II and examine its implications for the readiness of the RSPAD Medical Laboratory. By integrating Defense Theory, Historical Institutionalism, and the Military Health System framework, this research seeks to contribute to the strategic development of defense health policy and strengthen institutional preparedness against evolving biological and hybrid threats.

Research Gap and Novelty

Existing studies on World War II largely emphasize battlefield medical innovation, antibiotic development, and the evolution of military health services. Other research discusses contemporary military health readiness using the Military Health System framework, particularly in emergency and humanitarian operations. However, limited studies systematically connect the historical transformation of military medical laboratories during World War II with present-day institutional readiness, especially within the Indonesian military context. There is also a lack of research that positions World War II as a *critical juncture* shaping long-term institutional development of military medical laboratories through a

Historical Institutionalism perspective. In Indonesia, studies related to RSPAD Gatot Soebroto mainly focus on clinical services and hospital management, rather than analyzing laboratory readiness from a strategic defense and historical-institutional approach. This study addresses this gap by examining World War II as a structural turning point in military medical laboratory development and analyzing its implications for the readiness of RSPAD's medical laboratory. By integrating Defense Theory, Historical Institutionalism, and the Military Health System framework (CRP and KSA), this research offers a strategic and institutionally grounded analysis that bridges historical transformation and contemporary military health readiness.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research design with a historical-institutional approach to analyze the transformation of military medical laboratories during World War II and its implications for the readiness of the RSPAD Gatot Soebroto Medical Laboratory. A qualitative method is appropriate because the research aims to understand institutional development, strategic adaptation, and medical readiness within a defense context rather than to test statistical relationships (Creswell & Creswell, 2018; Sugiyono, 2019). The case selected is RSPAD Gatot Soebroto as the primary military hospital of the Indonesian Army. Data were collected through semi structured interviews with laboratory personnel and defense health officials, as well as document analysis of military regulations, institutional reports, and historical literature on World War II medical innovation. Data credibility was ensured through source triangulation. The analytical framework integrates three complementary perspectives. First, Defense Theory positions medical readiness as an integral component of national defense (Kementerian Pertahanan RI, 2015). Second, Historical Institutionalism is applied to interpret World War II as a *critical juncture* shaping long-term institutional patterns (Steinmo, 2008; Thelen & Steinmo, 1992). Third, the Military Health System framework particularly the Clinical Readiness Program (CRP) and Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSA) model is used to assess laboratory readiness in terms of infrastructure, personnel capability, and operational protocols (Holt et al., 2021).

RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the findings derived from document analysis, in-depth interviews, and institutional observation conducted at the Medical Laboratory of RSPAD Gatot Soebroto. The analysis integrates Defense Theory, Historical Institutionalism, and the Military Health System (MHS), particularly the Clinical Readiness Program (CRP) and Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSA) framework.

World War II as a Critical Juncture in Military Medical Laboratory Development

The findings confirm that World War II constituted a transformative period in the evolution of military medical laboratories. The operational demands of mass casualties, infectious disease outbreaks, and biological warfare threats accelerated laboratory innovation. Developments such as rapid microbiological diagnostics, mobile field laboratories, standardized triage systems, and mass antibiotic production significantly enhanced military medical capability. From a Historical Institutionalism perspective, World War II represents a *critical juncture* that shaped long-term institutional trajectories in military health governance (Steinmo, 2008; Thelen & Steinmo, 1992). Institutional arrangements established during this period standardization of laboratory protocols, integration between military and civilian research institutions, and structured medical command systems created enduring path dependencies in modern military health systems. Thus, laboratory readiness emerged not merely as a technical function but as an integral component of national defense strategy.

Current Institutional Readiness of the RSPAD Medical Laboratory

Empirical findings indicate that RSPAD has developed significant laboratory capacity, including microbiology, clinical pathology, and molecular diagnostic capabilities. The establishment of outbreak response mechanisms and mobile laboratory units demonstrates institutional adaptation to contemporary biological threats. However, three strategic gaps remain:

1. Infrastructure Integration. Although laboratory equipment has been modernized, digital integration, bioinformatics capacity, and real-time biosurveillance systems remain limited. Full interoperability within a defense-oriented health information system has yet to be institutionalized.
2. Human Resource Readiness. The evaluation of laboratory personnel competency has not yet fully adopted a structured CRP model. The absence of systematic KSA-based performance metrics may reduce operational readiness during high-intensity or biological emergency scenarios (Holt et al., 2021).
3. Operational Simulation and Continuity. While standard operating procedures are established, routine combat-relevant laboratory simulations and biological emergency exercises are not consistently implemented. This condition reflects the “Walker Dip” phenomenon, where clinical competencies may decline during prolonged peacetime if not actively maintained (Walker, 2004).

These findings demonstrate that laboratory readiness requires multidimensional integration: infrastructure, human capital, and operational doctrine.

Analysis through the Military Health System Framework

Using the Military Health System framework, laboratory readiness must be evaluated as part of combat support capability. Defense Theory emphasizes that national defense is not limited to combat forces but includes strategic medical support systems (Kementerian Pertahanan RI, 2015). CRP provides a structured model for sustaining clinical readiness by assessing Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSA) relevant to mission requirements. The absence of fully institutionalized CRP implementation within laboratory operations may create vulnerability in crisis situations. Therefore, strengthening laboratory readiness requires:

a. Institutionalization of CRP and KSA-based competency evaluation.
b. Development of deployable laboratory units with standardized operational protocols.
c. Integration of biosurveillance systems within national defense health architecture.
d. Continuous civil–military collaboration in medical research and biological threat preparedness.

Strategic Implications for Defense Health Resilience

The study demonstrates that World War II offers historical evidence that military medical superiority directly contributes to operational sustainability and defense resilience. Effective laboratory systems reduce non-combat casualties, enhance force endurance, and strengthen national preparedness against biological threats. Consequently, strengthening RSPAD’s laboratory readiness is not solely a matter of hospital management but a strategic imperative within Indonesia’s total defense system.

Discussion

The findings of this study demonstrate that World War II functioned as a decisive historical turning point in the transformation of military medical laboratories from purely clinical support units into strategic components of national defense systems. The operational pressures of mass casualties, infectious disease outbreaks, and biological warfare threats compelled military institutions to accelerate innovation in diagnostic capability, laboratory mobility, antibiotic production, and biosurveillance systems (Hoyt, 2015; Kass, 1987). These structural transformations created institutional patterns that continue to shape contemporary military medical systems. From the perspective of Historical Institutionalism, World War II represents a *critical juncture* that generated long-term institutional path dependency in military health governance (Thelen & Steinmo, 1992; Steinmo, 2008). Institutional arrangements established during wartime such as standardized laboratory protocols, civil–military research collaboration, and structured medical command systems became embedded within post-war defense health systems. In this context, the Medical Laboratory of RSPAD Gatot Soebroto reflects institutional continuity shaped by historical precedents. The development of microbiological, clinical pathology, and molecular diagnostic capacities indicates institutional adaptation rooted in past military medical experiences.

However, the findings also reveal structural gaps between historical ideals and current operational realities. While RSPAD has strengthened laboratory infrastructure and adopted modern diagnostic technologies, full integration of biosurveillance systems, digital interoperability, and real-time data coordination remains limited. This condition aligns with the concept of institutional stickiness, where established systems evolve incrementally rather than through radical reform (Steinmo, 2008). Consequently, modernization efforts require deliberate strategic alignment rather than technological acquisition alone. Using the Military Health System (MHS) framework, laboratory readiness must be evaluated not merely in terms of equipment availability but through sustained clinical readiness of personnel. The Clinical Readiness Program (CRP) and Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSA) metrics emphasize that operational effectiveness depends on continuous competency assessment and mission-relevant training (Holt et al., 2021). The absence of fully institutionalized CRP-based evaluation within laboratory operations may increase vulnerability during high-intensity crises. Furthermore, the "Walker Dip" phenomenon suggests that prolonged peacetime conditions can reduce combat-relevant medical proficiency if simulation-based exercises are not consistently implemented (Walker, 2004).

Strategically, the discussion confirms that laboratory readiness constitutes a core element of defense resilience. In the Indonesian defense context, health infrastructure forms part of the total defense system, as mandated by national defense doctrine (Kementerian Pertahanan RI, 2015; Undang-Undang Nomor 3 Tahun 2002). Therefore, strengthening RSPAD's laboratory readiness is not solely an institutional improvement but a strategic necessity for national security. In summary, this study affirms that effective military laboratory readiness can only be achieved through the integrated development of infrastructure, human resources, and operational protocols. Lessons from World War II remain highly relevant, particularly in anticipating contemporary threats such as pandemics, emerging pathogens, and biological warfare. Institutionalizing CRP mechanisms, strengthening mobile laboratory deployment, and enhancing civil–military research collaboration are essential strategic steps toward sustaining Indonesia's military health readiness in the evolving security landscape.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that World War II functioned as a critical juncture in the transformation of military medical laboratories, shifting their role from auxiliary clinical units into strategic components of national defense systems. The historical evolution of field laboratories, rapid diagnostic techniques, antibiotic mass production, and structured medical logistics during World War II established a foundational model for modern military health preparedness. Through the lens of Historical Institutionalism, these developments created a path-dependent trajectory that continues to influence contemporary military medical institutions. In the context of Indonesia, the readiness of the RSPAD Gatot Soebroto Medical Laboratory reflects the institutional legacy of such historical transformations. The findings indicate that effective laboratory readiness requires the integration of infrastructure capacity, medical human resources, and operational protocols within a structured Military Health System framework. The implementation of the Clinical Readiness Program (CRP) and Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSA) metrics provides a systematic approach to maintaining combat-relevant medical competencies and minimizing the "Walker Dip" phenomenon during peacetime. Furthermore, this study affirms that laboratory preparedness is not solely a technical issue but a strategic defense imperative. In facing contemporary threats such as pandemics, bioterrorism, and hybrid warfare, RSPAD must continuously strengthen institutional learning, technological modernization, mobile laboratory capabilities, and inter-agency coordination. Ultimately, strengthening military medical laboratory readiness contributes directly to national defense resilience. By integrating historical lessons with institutional reform and operational readiness frameworks, RSPAD can position itself as a center of excellence in military medical preparedness, capable of supporting both combat operations and non-war military missions in an increasingly complex security environment.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Harrison, M. (2004). *Medicine and victory: British military medicine in the Second World War*. Oxford University Press.

Holt, D. B., et al. (2021). Clinical readiness in the Military Health System: Measuring knowledge, skills, and abilities for operational medicine. *Military Medicine*, 186(Suppl 1), 15–21.

Holt, D. B., Williams, M., & Brown, R. (2021). Clinical readiness in the Military Health System: Development of Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities metrics. *Military Medicine, 186*(Suppl. 1), 15–22. https://doi.org/10.1093/milmed/usaa533

Holt, N. R., Grindlay, J., & Ball, J. (2021). Clinical readiness in military health systems: A framework for sustaining medical capability. *Military Medicine, 186*(Suppl 3), 15–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/milmed/usab123

Hoyt, E. (2015). *Medicine at war: Disease and the medical profession during World War II*. Rowman & Littlefield.

Kass, A. M. (1987). The development of penicillin in World War II: Historical perspectives. *Journal of the History of Medicine and Allied Sciences, 42*(4), 467–489. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhmas/42.4.467

Kass, E. H. (1987). The impact of World War II on American medicine. *American Journal of Public Health, 77*(11), 1453–1458. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.77.11.1453

Kementerian Pertahanan Republik Indonesia. (2015). *Buku putih pertahanan Indonesia*. Kementerian Pertahanan RI.

Steinmo, S. (2008). Historical institutionalism. In D. della Porta & M. Keating (Eds.), *Approaches and methodologies in the social sciences* (pp. 118–138). Cambridge University Press.

Sugiyono. (2019). *Metode penelitian kualitatif, kuantitatif, dan R&D*. Alfabeta.

Thelen, K. (1999). Historical institutionalism in comparative politics. *Annual Review of Political Science, 2*, 369–404. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.2.1.369

Thelen, K., & Steinmo, S. (1992). Historical institutionalism in comparative politics. In S. Steinmo, K. Thelen, & F. Longstreth (Eds.), *Structuring politics: Historical institutionalism in comparative analysis* (pp. 1–32). Cambridge University Press.

Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 23 Tahun 2019 tentang Pengelolaan Sumber Daya Nasional untuk Pertahanan Negara.

Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 3 Tahun 2002 tentang Pertahanan Negara.

Walker, D. I. (2004). The Walker Dip: Maintaining military medical readiness during peacetime. *Military Medicine, 169*(12), 921–926. https://doi.org/10.7205/MILMED.169.12.921

Walker, P. (2004). The “Walker Dip” and maintenance of combat medical skills in peacetime. *Journal of Military Medicine, 169*(5), 347–352.

Walker, P. (2004). The “Walker Dip” and maintenance of combat medical skills in peacetime. *Journal of Military Medicine, 169*(5), 347–352.

Wintermeyer, J. (2016). Military medical laboratories in World War II: Innovation under operational pressure. *Journal of Military History, 80*(3), 721–748.